



Fact Sheet

Mercury Update: Impact on Fish Advisories

Mercury is distributed throughout the environment from both natural sources and human activities. Methylmercury is the main form of organic mercury found in the environment and is the form that accumulates in both fish and human tissues. Three major episodes of methylmercury poisoning through consumption of contaminated food have occurred; these resulted in central nervous system effects such as impairment of peripheral vision, mental symptoms, loss of feeling, and, at high doses, seizures, very severe neurological impairment, and death. Methylmercury has also been shown to be a developmental toxicant, causing subtle to severe neurological effects. EPA considers there is sufficient evidence for methylmercury to be considered a developmental toxicant, to be of concern for potential human mutagenicity, and to be a possible human carcinogen (Group C). As of December 1998, 40 states have issued 1,931 fish advisories for mercury. These advisories inform the public that concentrations of mercury have been found in local fish at levels of public health concern. State advisories recommend either limiting or avoiding consumption of certain fish from specific waterbodies or, in some cases, from specific waterbody types (e.g., all freshwater lakes or rivers).

The purpose of this fact sheet is to summarize current information on sources, fate and transport, occurrence in human tissues, range of concentrations in fish tissue, fish advisories, fish consumption limits, toxicity, and regulations for mercury. The fact sheets also illustrate how this information may be used for developing fish consumption advisories. An electronic version of this fact sheet and fact sheets for dioxins/furans, PCBs, and toxaphene are available at <http://www.epa.gov/OST/fish>. Future revisions will be posted on the web as they become available.

Sources of Mercury in the Environment

Mercury is found in the environment in the metallic form and in different inorganic and organic forms. Most of the mercury in the atmosphere is elemental mercury vapor; most of the mercury in water, soil, plants, and animals is inorganic and organic mercury (primarily methylmercury).

Mercury occurs naturally and is distributed throughout the environment by both natural processes and human activities. Solid waste incineration and fossil fuel combustion facilities contribute approximately 87% of the emissions of mercury in the United States. Other sources of mercury releases to the air include mining and smelting, industrial processes involving the use of mercury such as chlor-alkali production facilities and production of cement.

Mercury is released to surface waters from naturally occurring mercury in rocks and soils and from industrial activities, including pulp and paper mills, leather tanning, electroplating, and chemical manufacturing. Wastewater treatment facilities may also release mercury to water. An indirect source of mercury to surface waters is mercury in the air; it is deposited from rain and other processes directly to water surfaces and to soils. Mercury also may be

mobilized from sediments if disturbed (e.g., flooding, dredging).

Sources of mercury in soil include direct application of fertilizers and fungicides and disposal of solid waste, including batteries and thermometers, to landfills. The disposal of municipal incinerator ash in landfills and the application of sewage sludge to crop land result in increased levels of mercury in soil. Mercury in air may also be deposited in soil and sediments.

Fate and Transport of Mercury

The global cycling of mercury is a complex process. Mercury evaporates from soils and surface waters to the atmosphere, is redeposited on land and surface water, and then is absorbed by soil or sediments. After redeposition on land and water, mercury is commonly volatilized back to the atmosphere as a gas or as adherents to particulates.

Mercury exists in a number of inorganic and organic forms in water. Methylmercury, the most common organic form of mercury, quickly enters the aquatic food chain. In most adult fish, 90% to 100% of the mercury is methylmercury. Methylmercury is found primarily in the fish muscle (fillets) bound to proteins. Skinning and trimming the fish does not significantly

reduce the mercury concentration in the fillet, nor is it removed by cooking processes. Because moisture is lost during cooking, the concentration of mercury after cooking is actually higher than it is in the fresh uncooked fish.

Concentrations of total mercury in fish at the top of the food chain, such as pike, shark, and swordfish, are approximately 10,000 to 100,000 times higher than the concentrations of inorganic mercury found in the surrounding waters. The bioconcentration factor (BCF) of methylmercury in fish is on the order of 3 million. The bioaccumulation of methylmercury is even greater. Methylmercury levels in predator fish are, on average, approximately 7 million times higher than the concentrations of dissolved methylmercury found in the surrounding waters.

In 1984 and 1985, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service collected 315 composite samples of whole fish from 109 stations nationwide as part of the National Contaminant Biomonitoring Program (NCBP). The maximum, geometric mean, and 85th percentile concentrations for mercury were 0.37, 0.10, and 0.17 ppm (wet weight), respectively. An analysis of mercury levels in tissues of bottom-feeding and predatory fish using the data from the NCBP study showed that the mean mercury tissue concentration of 0.12 ± 0.08 ppm in predatory fish species (e.g., trout, walleye, largemouth bass) was significantly higher than the mean tissue concentration of 0.08 ± 0.06 ppm in bottom feeders (e.g., carp, white sucker, and channel catfish).

Mercury, the only metal analyzed as part of EPA's 1987 National Study of Chemical Residues in Fish (NSCRF), was detected at 92% of 374 sites surveyed. Maximum, arithmetic mean, and median concentrations in fish tissue were 1.77, 0.26, and 0.17 ppm (wet weight), respectively. Mean mercury concentrations in bottom feeders (whole body samples) were generally lower than concentrations for predator fish (fillet samples) (see Table 1). Most of the higher tissue concentrations of mercury were detected in freshwater fish samples collected in the Northeast.

Most recently, the northeast states and eastern Canadian provinces issued their own mercury study, including a comprehensive analysis of mercury concentrations in a variety of freshwater sportfish collected from the late 1980s to 1996. Top level predatory fish such as walleye, chain pickerel, and large and smallmouth bass were typically found to exhibit the highest concentrations, with mean tissue residues greater than 0.5 ppm and maximum residues exceeding 2 ppm. One largemouth bass sample was found to contain 8.94 ppm of mercury, while a smallmouth bass sampled contained 5 ppm. Table 2 summarizes the range and the mean concentrations found in eight species of sportfish sampled.

Mercury has also been detected in marine fish species. Concentrations of methylmercury in muscle tissue in nine species of Atlantic shark averaged 0.88 $\mu\text{g/g}$ (ppm) (wet weight) and ranged from 0.06 to 2.87 $\mu\text{g/g}$ (ppm). Bluefin tuna from the northwest Atlantic Ocean contained mercury at a mean muscle concentration of 3.41 $\mu\text{g/g}$ (ppm) (dry weight).

Table 1. Mean Mercury Concentrations in Freshwater Fish^a

| Species | Mean concentration (ppm) ^b |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Bottom Feeders | |
| Carp | 0.11 |
| White sucker | 0.11 |
| Channel catfish | 0.09 |
| Predator Fish | |
| Largemouth bass | 0.46 |
| Smallmouth bass | 0.34 |
| Walleye | 0.52 |
| Brown trout | 0.14 |

^a EPA National Study of Chemical Residues in Fish conducted in 1987; species included freshwater, estuarine, and marine finfish; and a small number of marine shellfish.

^b Concentrations are reported on wet weight basis

Source: Bahnick et al., 1994.

Table 2. Mercury Concentrations for Selected Fish Species in the Northeast

| Species | Mean concentration ^a (ppm) | Minimum-maximum range ^a (ppm) |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Largemouth bass | 0.51 | 0-8.94 |
| Smallmouth bass | 0.53 | 0.08-5.0 |
| Yellow perch | 0.40 | 0-3.15 |
| Eastern chain pickerel | 0.63 | 0-2.81 |
| Lake trout | 0.32 | 0-2.70 |
| Walleye | 0.77 | 0.10-2.04 |
| Brown bullhead | 0.20 | 0-1.10 |
| Brook trout | 0.26 | 0-0.98 |

^a Concentrations are reported on a wet weight basis.

Source: NESCAUM, 1998.

Because of the higher cost of methylmercury analysis, EPA recommends that total mercury rather than methylmercury concentrations be determined in state fish contaminant monitoring programs. EPA also recommends that the conservative assumption be made that all mercury is present as methylmercury in order to be most protective of human health.

Potential Sources of Exposure and Occurrence in Human Tissues

Potential sources of human exposure to mercury include food contaminated with mercury, inhalation of mercury vapors in ambient air, and exposure to mercury through dental and medical treatments. Dietary intake is by far the most important source of exposure to mercury for the general population. Fish and other seafood products are the main source of methylmercury in the diet; studies have shown that methylmercury concentrations in fish and shellfish are approximately 10 to 100 times greater than in other foods, including cereals, potatoes, vegetables, fruits, meats, poultry, eggs, and milk.

Individuals who may be exposed to higher than average levels of methylmercury include recreational and subsistence fishers who routinely consume large amounts of locally caught fish and subsistence hunters who routinely consume the meat and organ tissues of marine mammals.

Analytical methods are available to measure mercury in blood, urine, tissue, hair, and breast milk.

Fish Advisories

The states have primary responsibility for protecting their residents from the health risks of consuming contaminated noncommercially caught fish. They do this by issuing nonconsumption advisories for the general population, including recreational and subsistence fishers, as well as sensitive subpopulations (such as pregnant women/fetus, nursing mothers and their infants, and children). These advisories inform the public that high concentrations of chemical contaminants, such as mercury, have been found in local fish. The advisories recommend either limiting or avoiding consumption of certain fish from specific waterbodies or, in some cases, from specific waterbody types (such as lakes or rivers).

As of December 1998, mercury was the chemical contaminant responsible, at least in part, for the issuance of 1,931 fish consumption advisories by 40 states, including the U.S. territory of American Samoa. Almost 68% of all advisories issued in the United States are a result of mercury contamination in fish and shellfish. Advisories for mercury have increased steadily, by 115% from 899 advisories in 1993 to 1,931 advisories in 1998. The number of states that have issued mercury advisories also has risen steadily from 27 states in 1993 to 40 states in

1997, and remains at 40 states for 1998. Advisories for mercury increased nearly 8% from 1997 (1,782 advisories) to 1998 (1,931 advisories).


Ten states have issued statewide advisories for mercury in their freshwater lakes and/or rivers: Connecticut, Indiana, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Hampshire, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, and Vermont. Another five Gulf Coast states (Alabama, Florida, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Texas) have statewide mercury advisories in effect for their coastal marine waters. To date, 90% of the 1,931 mercury advisories in effect have been issued by the following 11 states; Minnesota (821), Wisconsin (402), Indiana (126), Florida (97), Georgia (80), Massachusetts (58), Michigan (53), New Jersey (30), New Mexico (26), South Carolina (24), and Montana (22). Figure 1 shows the total number of fish advisories for mercury in each state in 1998.

Fish Consumption Limits—EPA indicated in the *Mercury Study Report to Congress* (U.S. EPA, 1997) that the typical U.S. consumer was not in danger of consuming harmful levels of methylmercury from fish and was not advised to limit fish consumption on the basis of mercury content. This advice is appropriate for typical consumers who eat less than 10 grams of fish and shellfish per day with mercury concentrations averaging between 0.1 and 0.15 ppm, which are typical for most species of commercially obtained fish. At these rates of fish intake, methylmercury exposures are considerably less than the interim reference dose (RfD) of 1×10^{-4} mg/kg-d. However, eating more fish than is typical or eating fish that are more contaminated, can increase the risk to a developing fetus.

Two groups of women of childbearing age are of concern: (1) those who eat more than 10 grams of fish a day and (2) those who eat fish with higher methylmercury levels. Ten grams of fish is a little over one-quarter cup of tuna per week or about one fish sandwich per week. Based on diet surveys, 10% of women of childbearing age eat five times or more fish than does the average consumer. If the fish have average mercury concentrations of 0.1 to 0.15 ppm, the women's mercury exposures range from near or slightly over the interim RfD to about twice the interim RfD.

The second group of women of concern are those who eat fish with higher mercury concentrations (e.g., 0.5 ppm and higher). Examples of fish with above average mercury levels are king mackerel, various bass species, orange roughy, pike, swordfish, shark and freshwater fish from contaminated waters. Even women eating average amounts of fish (i.e., <10 g/d)

Figure 1. Fish Advisories for Mercury.



have mercury exposures near the interim RfD, if the mercury concentration is 0.5 ppm. If women eat these fish species and their average fish intake is between 40 and 70 grams/day (or about a quarter cup per day), their mercury exposures would range from three to six times the interim RfD. Consumers who eat fish with 1 ppm mercury (e.g., swordfish and shark) at the level of 40 to 70 g/d have intakes that range from 6 to nearly 12 times the interim RfD.

Some women of childbearing age in certain ethnic groups (Asians, Pacific Islanders, and Native Americans) eat much more fish than the general population. Because of the higher amounts of fish in their diets, women in these ethnic groups need to be aware of the level of mercury in the fish they eat.

The RfD is not a "bright line" between safety and toxicity; however, there is progressively greater concern about the likelihood of adverse effects above this level. Consequently, people are advised to consume fish in moderate amounts and be aware of the amount of mercury in the fish they eat.

For sensitive populations, such as pregnant women, nursing mothers, and young children, some states have issued either "no consumption" advisories or "restricted consumption" advisories for methylmercury. Additional information on calculating specific limits for these sensitive populations is available in EPA's Guidance for Assessing Chemical Contaminant Data for Use in Fish Advisories, Volume 2, Section 3.

Table 3 shows the recommended monthly fish consumption limits for methylmercury in fish for fish consumers based on EPA's default values for risk assessment parameters. Consumption limits have been calculated as the number of allowable fish meals per month based on the ranges of methylmercury in the consumed fish tissue. The following assumptions were used to calculate the consumption limits:

- # Consumer adult body weight of 72 kg
- # Average fish meal size of 8 oz (0.227 kg)
- # Time-averaging period of 1 mo (30.44 d)
- # EPA's interim reference dose for methylmercury (1×10^{-4} mg/kg-d) from EPA's Integrated Risk Information System (U.S. EPA, 1999c).

For example, when methylmercury levels in fish tissue are 0.4 ppm, then two 8-oz. meals per month can safely be consumed.

Table 3. Monthly Fish Consumption Limits for Methylmercury

| Risk-based consumption limit | Noncancer health endpoints |
|------------------------------|--|
| Fish meals/month | Fish tissue concentrations (ppm, wet weight) |
| 16 | > 0.03–0.06 |
| 12 | > 0.06–0.08 |
| 8 | > 0.08–0.12 |
| 4 | > 0.12–0.24 |
| 3 | > 0.24–0.32 |
| 2 | > 0.32–0.48 |
| 1 | > 0.48–0.97 |
| 0.5 | > 0.97–1.9 |
| None (<0.5) ^a | > 1.9 |

^a None = No consumption recommended.

NOTE: In cases where >16 meals per month are consumed, refer to EPA's *Guidance for Assessing Chemical Contaminant Data for Use in Fish Advisories*, Volume 2, Section 3 for methods to determine safe consumption limits.

Toxicity of Mercury

Pharmacokinetics—Methylmercury is rapidly and nearly completely absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract; 90% to 100% absorption is estimated. Methylmercury is somewhat lipophilic, allowing it to pass through lipid membranes of cells and facilitating its distribution to all tissues, and it binds readily to proteins. Methylmercury binds to amino acids in fish muscle tissue.

The highest methylmercury levels in humans are generally found in the kidneys. Methylmercury in the body is considered to be relatively stable and is only slowly transformed to form other forms of mercury. Methylmercury readily crosses the placental and blood/brain barriers. Estimates for its half-life in the human body range from 44 to 80 days.

Excretion of methylmercury is via the feces, urine, and breast milk. Methylmercury is also distributed to human hair and to the fur and feathers of wildlife; measurement of mercury in hair and these other tissues has served as a useful biomonitor of contamination levels.

Acute Toxicity—Acute high-level exposures to methylmercury may result in impaired central nervous system function, kidney damage and failure,

gastro- intestinal damage, cardiovascular collapse, shock, and death. The estimated lethal dose is 10 to 60 mg/kg.

Chronic Toxicity—Although both elemental mercury and methylmercury produce a variety of health effects at relatively high exposures, neurotoxicity is the effect of greatest concern. This is true whether exposure occurs to the developing embryo or fetus during pregnancy or to adults and children. Human exposure to methylmercury has generally been through consumption of contaminated food. Two major episodes of methylmercury poisoning through fish consumption have occurred. The first occurred in the early 1950s among people, fish consuming domestic animals such as cats, and wildlife living near Minamata City on the shores of Minamata Bay, Kyushu, Japan. The source of the methylmercury contamination was effluent from a chemical factory that used mercury as a catalyst and discharged wastes into the bay where it accumulated in fish and shellfish that were a dietary staple of this population. Average fish consumption was reported to be in excess of 300 g/d, 20 times greater than is typical for recreational fishers in the United States. By comparison, about 3% to 5% of U.S. consumers routinely eat 100 grams of fish per day. Among women of childbearing age, 3% routinely eat 100 grams of fish per day.

In 1965, another methylmercury poisoning incident occurred in the area of Niigata, Japan. The signs and symptoms of the disease in Niigata were similar to those of methylmercury poisoning in Minamata.

Symptoms of Minamata disease in children and adults included: impairment of peripheral vision, disturbances in sensations ("pins and needles" feelings, numbness) usually in the hands and feet and sometimes around the mouth; incoordination of movements; impairment of speech, hearing, and walking; and mental disturbances. It sometimes took several years before individuals were aware that they were developing the signs and symptoms of methylmercury poisoning. Over the years, it became clear that nervous system damage could occur to a fetus whose mother ate fish contaminated with methylmercury during the pregnancy.

Methylmercury poisoning also occurred in Iraq following consumption of seed grain that had been treated with a fungicide containing methylmercury. The first outbreak occurred prior to 1960; the second occurred in the early 1970s. Imported mercury-treated seed grains that arrived after the planting season were ground into flour and baked into bread.

Unlike the long-term exposures in Japan, the epidemic of methylmercury poisoning in Iraq was short in duration lasting approximately 6 months. The signs and symptoms of disease in Iraq were predominantly in the nervous system: difficulty with peripheral vision or blindness, sensory disturbances, incoordination, impairment of walking, and slurred speech. Both children and adults were affected. Infants born to mothers who had consumed methylmercury contaminated grain (particularly during the second trimester of pregnancy) showed nervous system damage even though the mother was only slightly affected.

Recent studies have examined populations that are exposed to lower levels of methylmercury as a consequence of routine consumption of fish and marine mammals including studies of populations around the Great Lakes and in New Zealand, the Amazon basin, the Seychelles Islands, and the Faroe Islands. The last two studies are of large populations of children presumably exposed to methylmercury in utero. Very sensitive measures of developmental neurotoxicity in these populations are still being analyzed and published. A recent workshop discussed these studies and concluded that they have provided valuable new information on the potential health effects of methylmercury. Significant uncertainties remain, however, because of issues related to exposure, neurobehavioral endpoints, confounders and statistics, and study design.

Developmental Toxicity—Data are available on developmental effects in rats, mice, guinea pigs, hamsters, and monkeys. Also, convincing data from a number of human studies (i.e., Minamata, Iraq) indicate that methylmercury causes subtle to severe neurologic effects depending on dose and individual susceptibility. EPA considers methylmercury to have sufficient human and animal data to be classified as a developmental toxicant.

Methylmercury accumulates in body tissue; consequently, maternal exposure occurring prior to pregnancy can contribute to the overall maternal body burden and result in exposure to the developing fetus. In addition, infants may be exposed to methylmercury through breast milk. Therefore, it is advisable to reduce methylmercury exposure to women with childbearing potential to reduce overall body burden (see Fish Consumption Limits section).

Mutagenicity—Methylmercury appears to be clastogenic but not to be a point mutagen; that is,

mercury causes chromosome damage but not small heritable changes in DNA.

EPA has classified methylmercury as being of high concern for potential human germ cell mutagenicity. The absence of positive results in a heritable mutagenicity assay keeps methylmercury from being included under the highest level of concern. The data on mutagenicity are not sufficient, however, to permit estimation of the amount of methylmercury that would cause a measurable mutagenic effect in the human population.

Carcinogenicity—Experimental animal data suggest that methylmercury may be tumorigenic in animals. Chronic dietary exposures of mice to methylmercury resulted in significant increases in the incidences of kidney tumors in males but not in females. The tumors were seen only at toxic doses of methylmercury. Three human studies have been identified that examined the relationship between methylmercury exposure and cancer. There was no persuasive evidence of increased carcinogenicity attributable to methylmercury exposure in any of these studies. Interpretation of these studies was limited by poor study design and incomplete descriptions of methodology and/or results. EPA has not calculated quantitative carcinogenic risk values for methylmercury. EPA has found methylmercury to have inadequate data in humans and limited evidence in animals, and has classified it as a possible human carcinogen, Group C.

All of the carcinogenic effects in animals were observed in the presence of profound damage to the kidneys. Tumors may be formed as a consequence of repair in the damaged organs. Evidence points to a mode of action for methylmercury carcinogenicity that operates at high doses certain to produce other types of toxicity in humans. Given the levels of exposure most likely to occur in the U.S. population, even among consumers of large amounts of fish, methylmercury is not likely to present a carcinogenic risk.

Summary of EPA Health Benchmarks

- # Chronic Toxicity—Interim Reference Dose:
1x10⁻⁴ mg/kg-d (U.S. EPA, 1999c)
- # Carcinogenicity: No carcinogenic risk values calculated

Special Susceptibilities—The developing fetus is at greater risk from methylmercury exposure than are adults. Data on children exposed only after birth are insufficient to determine if this group has increased susceptibility to the adverse central nervous system effects of methylmercury. In addition, children are considered to be at increased risk of methylmercury exposure by virtue of their greater food consumption as a percentage of body weight (mg food/kg body weight) compared to adult exposures. Additional risk from higher mercury ingestion rates may also result from the apparent decreased ability of children's bodies to eliminate mercury.

Interactive Effects—Potassium dichromate and atrazine may increase the toxicity of mercury, although these effects have been noted only with metallic and inorganic mercury. Ethanol increases the toxicity of methylmercury in experimental animals. Vitamins D and E, thiol compounds, selenium, copper, and possibly zinc are antagonistic to the toxic effects of mercury.

Critical Data Gaps—Additional data are needed on the exposure levels at which humans experience subtle, but persistent, adverse neurological effects. Data on immunologic effects and reproductive effects are not sufficient for evaluation of low-dose methylmercury toxicity for these endpoints.

EPA Regulations and Advisories

- # Maximum Contaminant Level in drinking water = 0.002 mg/L
- # Toxic Criteria for those States Not Complying with CWA Section 303(c)(2)(B) - criterion concentration for priority toxic pollutants:
 - Freshwater: maximum = 2.10 µg/L, continuous = 0.012 µg/L
 - S Saltwater: maximum = 1.80 µg/L, continuous = 0.025 µg/L
 - Human health consumption of water and organisms = 0.14 µg/L
 - Human health consumption of organisms only = 0.15 µg/L.
- # Water Quality Guidance for the Great Lakes System—protection of aquatic life in ambient water:
 - acute water quality criteria for mercury total recoverable: maximum = 1.694 µg/L
 - chronic water quality criteria for mercury total recoverable: continuous = 0.908 µg/L
 - water quality criteria for protection of human health, drinking water and nondrinking water: maximum = 1.8×10^{-3} µg/L
 - water quality criteria for protection of human health (mercury including methylmercury) = 1.3×10^{-3} µg/L.
- # Listed as a hazardous air pollutant under Section 112 of the Clean Air Act
- # Emissions from mercury ore processing facilities and mercury chlor-alkali plants = 2,300 g maximum/24 h
- # Emissions from sludge incineration plants, sludge drying plants, or a combination of these that process wastewater treatment plant sludge = 3,200 g maximum/24 h
- # Ban of phenylmercuric acetate as a fungicide in interior and exterior latex paints
- # Reportable quantities: Mercury, mercuric cyanide = 1 lb; mercuric nitrate, mercuric sulfate, mercuric thiocyanate, mercurous nitrate, mercury fulminate = 10 lb; phenylmercury acetate = 100 lb.
- # Listed as a hazardous substance: Mercuric cyanide, mercuric nitrate, mercuric sulfate, mercuric thiocyanate, mercurous nitrate
- # Reporting threshold for Toxic Release Inventory (proposed) = 10 lb

Sources of Information

ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). 1999. *Toxicological Profile for Mercury*. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Atlanta, GA.

Bahnick, D., C. Sauer, B. Butterworth, and D.W. Kuehl. 1994. A national study of mercury contamination in fish IV: Analytical methods and results. *Chemosphere* 29(3):537-547.

Kidwell, J.M., L.J. Phillips, and G.F. Birchard. 1995. Comparative analyses of contaminant levels in bottom feeding and predatory fish using the National Contaminant Biomonitoring Program Data. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*. 54:919-923.

National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences. 1999. *Scientific Issues Relevant to Assessment of Health Effects from Exposure to Methylmercury*. U. S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Research Triangle Park, NC. <http://www.niehs.nih.gov>.

NESCAUM (Northeast States for Coordinated Air Use Management). 1998. *Northeast States and Eastern Canadian Provinces Mercury Study. A Framework for Action*. Boston, Massachusetts.

Porcella, D.B. 1994. Mercury in the Environment: Biogeochemistry. In: Watras, C. J., Huckabee, J. W., eds., Lewis Publishers. *Mercury Pollution Integration and Synthesis*, Boca Raton, Florida. 3-19.

Schmitt, C. J., and W. G. Brumbaugh. 1990. National Contaminant Biomonitoring Program: Concentrations of arsenic, cadmium, copper, lead, mercury, selenium, and zinc in U.S. freshwater fish, 1978-1984. *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*. 19:731-747.

U.S. EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1997. *Mercury Study Report to Congress*. Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards and Office of Research and Development, Washington, DC. <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/uatw/112nmerc/mercury.html>

U.S. EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1999a. *Guidance for Assessing Chemical Contaminant Data for Use in Fish Advisories. Volume 2, 3rd edition. Risk Assessment and Fish Consumption Limits*. EPA 823-B-99-008. Office of Water, Washington, DC.

U.S. EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). 1999b. *Fact Sheet: Update: National Listing of Fish and Wildlife Advisories*. EPA-823-F-99-005. Office of Water, Washington, DC.

U.S. EPA (Environmental Protection Agency). IRIS (Integrated Risk Information System) for Methylmercury. 1999c. National Center for Environmental Assessment, Office of Research and Development, Cincinnati, OH.

For more information about the National Fish and Wildlife Contamination Program, contact:

Mr. Jeffrey Bigler
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Science and Technology
401 M St. SW (4305)
Washington, DC 20460

Bigler.Jeff@epa.gov
202 260-1305
202 260-9830 (fax)

The 1998 update of the database *National Listing of Fish and Wildlife Advisories* is available for downloading from the following Internet site:
<http://www.epa.gov/OST>